

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/623,113	07/18/2003	Brent R. Constantz	CORA-001CIP2CON2	4453	
24353	7590 09/07/2004		EXAMINER		
BOZICEVIC 200 MIDDLEI	, FIELD & FRANCIS	BARRETT,	BARRETT, THOMAS C		
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			3738		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/623,11		CONSTANTZ, BRENT R.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Thomas C	. Barrett	3738				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply				dress			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever unication. of days, a reply within the state utory period will apply and within the state with the apply and will, by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timelthe mailing date of this co				
Status								
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2	b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Applicati	ion Papers			,				
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) tion to the drawing(s) b the correction is requir	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority t	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice Notice Notice	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pi mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I for No(s)/Mail Date 10-20-03.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,379,345. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because 6,379,345 claims flushing a vascular lesion with an acidic dissolution fluid.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,113

Art Unit: 3738

Claim 16 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,622,732 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because 6,387,071 claims a flushing system comprising a catheter two pumping means and a dissolution fluid and the present claims are broader.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5 and 6 U.S. Patent No. 6,387,071. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because 6,387,071 claims a kit and the present claims are broader.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wright (5,135,484). Wright discloses a method of treating a host suffering from a vascular disease comprising demineralizing vessels using a double balloon catheter (fig. 3) to render a local environment substantially bloodless and an acidic dissolution (col. 6, lines 33-35).

Art Unit: 3738

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright (5,135,484) in view of Brisken (5,735,811). Wright discloses a method using a catheter for demineralization however fails to disclose the use of an energy source with the catheter. Brisken teaches a catheter with a vibrational transducer (energy source) which creates turbulence and mechanical disruption, thereby improving the effectiveness of the dissolving agent (Fig. 9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of a catheter with a vibrational transducer, as taught by Brisken, to a catheter for demineralization as per Wright, in order to improve the effectiveness of the dissolving agents.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright (5,135,484). Wright discloses a kit comprising fluid capable of increasing proton concentration however Wright fails to disclose instructions with the kit. It is well known and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of a kit with instructions for the kit's use, to a catheter for demineralization as per Wright, in order to ensure proper use of the kit. Please Note: a kit is a set of articles or implements used for a specific purpose. The acid and the catheter of Wright constitute a set of articles or implements used for a specific purpose and are therefore a kit. All that the printed

Art Unit: 3738

matter of the instructions does is teach a new use for an existing product. Where the printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate, the printed matter will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-8295. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,113 Page 6

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Thomas Barrett**